

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 4:13CR3085
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 BROOKE C. ANDERSON,)
)
 Defendant.)

**MEMORANDUM
AND ORDER**

This matter is before the court on Magistrate Judge Zwart's findings and recommendation. (Filing [31](#).) The defendant did not file a statement of objections. The magistrate recommends that defendant's motion to suppress be denied.

I have conducted a de novo review of the record. I find that inasmuch as the magistrate judge has fully, carefully, and correctly found the facts and applied the law, the magistrate judge's findings and recommendation should be adopted and the defendant's motion to suppress should be denied.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The magistrate judge's findings and recommendation (filing [31](#)) is adopted.

2. The defendant's motion to suppress (filing [18](#)) is denied in all respects.

DATED this 24th day of October, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf
Senior United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.